

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/982,236 | 10/19/2001 | Eric Gaussier | 07447.0061 (XeroxRef.No. | 7611 |
| 7590 12/09/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow | | | NGUYEN, CAM LINH T | |
| Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P. 1300 I St., N.W. | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Washington, DC 20005-3315 | | | 2161 | - |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application Number | Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/982,236 | GAUSSIER ET AL. | | | | |
| | 00/002,200 | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Cam Linh Nguyen | 2161 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review | | | | | | |
| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed <u>11/22/05</u> . | | | | | | |
| Improper Request – The Recreason(s): | uest is improper and a confe | rence will not be held for the following | | | | |
| ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ☐ The request does not include reasons why a review is appropriate. ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request. ☐ Other: | | | | | | |
| The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received. | | | | | | |
| held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal brief brief will be reset to be one month frunning from the receipt of the notice | appeal because there is at le in accordance with 37 CFR 4 om mailing this decision, or the of appeal, whichever is grea CFR 1.136 based upon the ma | A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been ast one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 1.37. The time period for filing an appeal to balance of the two-month time period ter. Further, the time period for filing of the ail date of this decision or the receipt date | | | | |
| The panel has determined Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from considerations. | the status of the claim(s) is as | s follows: | | | | |
| 3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time. | | | | | | |
| 4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time. | | | | | | |
| All participants: | | ,21 ₋ | | | | |
| (1) Safet Metjahic. | (3) <u>Cam L</u> | inh Nguyen. SAFET METJAHIC | | | | |
| (2) Charles Rones. | (4) | UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER | | | | |

CHAOLOGY CF TER 2100